Docket No. 1248-0497P January 20, 2004

Art Unit: 2184

Page 11 of 15

**REMARKS** 

Claims 1, 3-8, and 10-18 are pending in the above-identified application.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 13 are independent. Claims 15-18 have been

added.

Claim Rejection

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C.

102(b) as being anticipated by JP 01-223586 of Omichi et al. (referred to as

"Omichi"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The microcomputer of the present invention includes a boot ROM that

enables automatic control of the testing process. The boot ROM contains a control

program to operate the communication circuit 14 (Specification at page 14, lines

4-14). In response to receiving a single command from an external

communication device (e.g., 20 in Figure 1), the control program sets the

conditions necessary for transfer and performs transfer of the test program from

the external communication device to the RAM. The control program runs the test

program and sends the test results to the external communication device (see

Figure 3). Thus, communication between the IC card and the external testing

device is initiated by a single command such that the microcomputer

automatically performs processes (hence the term "boot ROM"), from the reception

Docket No. 1248-0497P

January 20, 2004 Art Unit: 2184

Page 12 of 15

of various test programs to the outputting of test result data. Therefore, the

present invention efficiently performs simultaneous testing of a large number of IC

cards automatically, because the number of communications to be performed

between the IC card and the external testing device is small and the workload on

the external testing device is little.

In order to clarify the term "boot ROM" as recited in the claims, independent

claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 13 have been amended to recite that the boot ROM's

control program enables receiving of the test program through a communication

circuit "in response to receiving a test command" issued by the external check

system.

In Omichi, on the other hand, the test program and related commands, etc.

are initially received together in a "data block." After the data block is received,

programs in the ROM begin operating on the information in the data block. Also,

Omichi appears to address removal of the test program after power is turned off,

for purposes of security, but does not appear to be concerned with improving

communications for efficient testing of a large number of microprocessor devices.

Thus, Applicant submits that Omichi fails to teach or suggest the claimed

ROM comprising a control program for enabling receiving of the test program

through a communication circuit in response to receiving a test command issued

by the external test system.

Docket No. 1248-0497P

January 20, 2004 Art Unit: 2184

Page 13 of 15

Similarly, with respect to claim 3, Applicant submits that Omichi fails to

teach or suggest the claimed boot ROM having stored a control program for jobs of

"receiving a test program for said nonvolatile memory from said check system to

be stored in said RAM at a test command issued from said check system."

Accordingly, Applicants submit that Omichi fails to teach or suggest each

and every claimed element of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 13.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 5, 7, 12, and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being

unpatentable over Omichi in view of Lin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,818,848, hereinafter

"Lin"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Lin's integrated circuit uses its I/O port for communication with the

external testing device. However, as in the above for Omichi, Lin does not appear

to disclose a boot ROM including a control program for enabling receiving a test

program from an external check system in response to receiving a test command

issued by the external check system. Thus, Applicant submits that Lin does not

make up for the above-stated deficiency in Omichi.

Therefore, Omichi and Lin, either alone or in combination, fail to teach or

suggest each and every claimed element. Applicants submit that the rejection fails

Docket No. 1248-0497P

January 20, 2004 Art Unit: 2184

Page 14 of 15

to establish prima facie obviousness and respectfully request that the rejection be

withdrawn.

New Claims

New claims 15-18 recite the one-to-many relationship between the test

command issued by the control computer and a plurality of microcomputers. For

at least the same reasons as in the above for claims 1, 3, 4, and 6, Applicant

submits that Omichi and Lin, either alone or in combination, fails to teach each

and every element of these claims as well.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the various

rejections and allowance of claims 1, 3-8, and 10-14 is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this application, the

Examiner is invited to contact Robert W. Downs (Reg. No. 48,222) at (703) 205-8000

in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

U.S. Application No. 09/552,701 Docket No. 1248-0497P January 20, 2004 Art Unit: 2184 Page 15 of 15

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

n Charles Gorenstein, #29,271

CG/RWD/kmr 1248-0497P P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000